From:	Williams, Diane M.
To:	Greene, John J CTR
Subject:	[Non-DoD Source] RE: EA Scope -BNSF Bridge-Lake Pend Oreille
Date:	Monday, October 23, 2017 6:25:57 PM

Thank you, John! This really helps and we will be sure to clearly delineate the separate bridge projects.

Diane

-----Original Message-----
From: Greene, John J CTR [mailto:John.J.Greene@uscg.mil]
Sent: Monday, October 23, 2017 12:56 PM
To: Williams, Diane M.
Cc: Fischer, Steven M CIV; McReynolds, Danny G CIV
Subject: [EXTERNAL] RE: EA Scope -BNSF Bridge-Lake Pend Oreille

Hi Diane, I just got word from USCG Bridge Program HQ that a combined EA will be appropriate for the Sand Point projects. It will be important to clearly delineate the two projects in the EA. Please contact us if you have any questions or concerns regarding this.

Thanks.

John J. Greene, PMP
Environmental Policy Analyst, ECS Federal 13th Coast Guard District Waterways Management, Bridge Program
915 2nd Avenue, Room 3510
Seattle, WA. 98174
John.J.Greene@uscg.mil
206 220-7277

-----Original Message-----
From: Williams, Diane M. [mailto:Diane.Williams@jacobs.com]
Sent: Monday, October 23, 2017 8:47 AM
To: Greene, John J CTR
Subject: [Non-DoD Source] FW: EA Scope -BNSF Bridge-Lake Pend Oreille
Importance: High

Good Morning, John -

Any response/direction per the email below?

Thanks,

Diane

From: Williams, Diane M.
Sent: Wednesday, October 18, 2017 4:29 PM
To: John.J.Greene@uscg.mil
Subject: EA Scope -BNSF Bridge-Lake Pend Oreille

Hi John -

Per our conversation today regarding needing an EA for the above project, I have a question regarding the EA scope with USCG as lead agency.

The proposed BNSF bridge over Lake Pend Oreille is a component of the larger BNSF Sandpoint Junction Connector project that includes construction of a second mainline track, signals and switches, and three bridges -over a street in Sandpoint (Bridge 3.0), over Sand Creek (Bridge 3.1), and over Lake Pend Oreille (Bridge 3.9).

You previously instructed that separate BAs are needed for Bridge 3.1 (no T&E species) and Bridge 3.9 because each bridge will be separately permitted. You also anticipate a CE for Bridge 3.1 and an EA for Bridge 3.9.

Given our conversation about potential public opinion on the project, the fact that the Corps is a cooperating agency that will need to issue an individual 404 permit for the overall Sandpoint Junction Connector project (with a public comment period), we need some direction on the scope of the EA. It is difficult to separately parse out Bridge 3.9 in an EA since it is a component of the larger project.

Should we prepare an overall EA for the entire Sandpoint Junction Connector project, or prepare an EA just for Bridge 3.9.? Which option would better serve the public interest and comment periods/requirements of both the USCG and the Corps?

Thanks John.

Diane M. Williams

Jacobs - Environmental Services

Environmental Planner

101 N. Fourth Ave., Suite 203 | Sandpoint, ID 83864 | 208.263.9391 ofc | 208.920.6042 direct

Diane.Williams@jacobs.com   www.jacobs.com <https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=http-3A__www.jacobs.com_&d=DwMFAg&c=0NKfg44GVknAU-XkWXjNxQ&r=e14eBfqsaYzJZJJMy4jGVwtKGTx_5KLlZkCfJAOLAdA&m=HAIoF5YIgqffnildmfimcpZ1h4uJYpeBfejA2d-0F18&s=HNvUbP9t_iGXx5WOjOjqGnwbfFa1C2hE0zv2q_yWDwA&e=>

_____

NOTICE - This communication may contain confidential and privileged information that is for the sole use of the intended recipient. Any viewing, copying or distribution of, or reliance on this message by unintended recipients is strictly prohibited. If you have received this message in error, please notify us immediately by replying to the message and deleting it from your computer.